



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



He

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,941	04/30/2001	James F. Hemerick	6530.0278	8636
22852 7:	590 06/03/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON DE 20005			EXAMINER	
			THALER, MICHAEL H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	10
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



N.K

Application No. 09/843,941

Applicant(s)

Hemerick et al.

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

Michael Thaler

Art Unit 3731

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 13, 2					
2a)   ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action	on is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims 45-52					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 2, 5-9, 11-13, 15, 16, 19-23, 25, 26, 29-33, 35, 36, 39-43, and   is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 💢 Claim(s) 11, 45, and 47-52	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6) X Claim(s) 2, 5-9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19-23, 25, 26, 29-					
7)  Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
application from the International Burea					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 2, 5-9, 12, 15, 16, 19-23, 25, 26, 29-33, 35, 36 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winston et al. (5,306,294) in view of Burns (5,100,381). As to claims 5, 19, 29 and 39, Winston et al. show outer tubular structure 20, inner elongated structure 12, stent accommodating area (just distal to flange 14) and a plurality of external tubular structure contact areas (flanges 14) which slide against the interior surface of the outer tubular structure 20 since they are shown contacting one another in figures 1, 2 and 4. Winston et al. fail to disclose each subsequently proximal external structure 14 increasing in durometer. However, Burns teaches that the distal portion of a catheter should be more flexible than the proximal portion in order to allow the catheter to be advanced through the rather tortuous paths of the arteries while maintaining pushability (col. 2, lines 30-34 and col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 6). would have been obvious to make the distal portion of the Winston et al. catheter 12 more flexible than the proximal portion so that it too would have this advantage. With this modification, the distal portion of the Winston et al. catheter 12 (which includes a distal flange 14) would be made of a material which is more flexible (with a low durometer) than a proximal portion of the catheter 12 (which includes a proximal flange 14) made of a high durometer, stiffer material. As to claims 2, 16, 26 and 36, Winston et al. fail to show Pellethane as the material for the

Art Unit: 3731

inner tubular structure. However, using Pellethane as the material for the inner tubular structure would have been obvious since it is well known as a desirable material for this use as indicated on page 2, lines 8-10 of applicant's specification. As to claim 35, retracting the Winston et al. stent back into the outer tubular structure and then repositioning the stent delivery system when it is determined that the stent is not initially properly positioned would have been obvious since it is well known in this art to so retract and reposition stents for this reason.

Claims 13 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winston et al. (5,306,294) in view of Burns (5,100,381) as applied to claims 5 and 39 above, and further in view of Hofmann et al. (5,810,837). Winston et al. fail to disclose a gap between an external surface of the external tubular structure 14 and the inner surface of the outer tubular structure However, Hofmann et al. teach that there should be a gap 20. between the external surface of the external tubular structure 10 and the inner surface of the outer tubular structure 3 (the outer diameter C of member 10 is 4.5 mm while the inner diameter B of outer tubular structure 3 is 4.6 mm as indicated in col. 4, line 38) apparently in order to insure that the inner elongated structure 10, 9, 7 is able to slide relative to outer tubular structure 3 with minimal friction. It would have been obvious to provide such a gap between the Winston et al. external surface of

Art Unit: 3731

the external tubular structure 14 and the inner surface of the outer tubular structure 20 so that it too would have this advantage.

Claims 11, 45 and 47-52 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed May 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to claims 5-9, 19-23, 29-33 and 39-43, although it is the Burns outer tube that includes different materials to vary the flexibility of the catheter, Burns never the less teaches that a distal portion of a catheter should be more flexible than the proximal portion by making a distal portion of the catheter of a different material than a proximal portion of the catheter. It would have been obvious to make the distal portion of catheter 12 of Winston et al. more flexible than the proximal portion by making the distal portion of the catheter of a different material than the proximal portion of the catheter in view of this teaching so that it too would have the advantage of allowing the catheter to be advanced through the rather tortuous paths of the arteries while maintaining pushability. Since the entire distal portion of the Winston et al. catheter 12, including the distal portion of the shaft 12 as well as the distal flange 14 (rather than only distal flange 14) would have different durometer measurement than the proximal portion, including the proximal portion of the shaft 12 as well as the proximal flange 14, by following the Burns teaching, the distal portion of catheter would,

Art Unit: 3731

in fact, be made more flexible than the proximal portion in an appreciable way.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703)308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

Art Unit: 3731

(703)305-3590 for regular communications and (703)305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht May 30, 2003 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731